## 2024 Nursing Research and Clinical Innovations Symposium Oral Presentation Scoring Rubric

| Timekeeping                    | <b>0</b><br>The presentation is < 7 minutes or > 13.   | <b>1</b><br>The presentation is 7-8 minutes or 12-13  | <b>2</b><br>The presentation is 9 minutes or 11   | <b>3</b><br>The presentation is 10 minutes (give or   |  |
|--------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| Background and aim             | <b>0</b><br>Background and/or aim are poorly<br>described with no link provided.   | minutes.<br><b>1 to 2</b><br>The description of the background and<br>aim are inadequate with a vague link.   | minutes.<br><b>3 to 4</b><br>The background and aim are well<br>described with a clear link.  | take 30 seconds).<br>5<br>The background and aim are concise and<br>exceptionally described with a strong<br>link.  |  |
| Method/Innovation<br>plan      | <b>0</b><br>The method is not in alignment with the<br>aim and is poorly described.  | <b>1 to 2</b><br>The method has some alignment / is<br>aligned with the aim. There are some<br>gaps in the description.   | <b>3 to 4</b><br>The method is aligned with the aim and<br>is well described.   | 5<br>The method is strongly aligned with the<br>aim and an exceptional description is<br>provided.  |  |
| Results/Innovation<br>outcome  | <b>0</b><br>The results are poorly described and<br>inconsistent with the aim/method.  | <b>1 to 2</b><br>There is some description of the results<br>with some consistencies with the<br>aim/method.  | <b>3 to 4</b><br>The results are clearly explained and<br>consistent with the aim/method.   | 5<br>The explanation off the results is<br>exceptional with strong alignment with<br>the aim/method.  |  |
| Conclusion/<br>Recommendations | <b>0</b><br>There is no clear conclusion or<br>recommendations.  | <b>1 to 2</b><br>The conclusion is poorly presented<br>and/or recommendations are vague.  | <b>3 to 4</b><br>The conclusion is logical with clear<br>recommendations.   | 5<br>The conclusion is exceptional with strong<br>recommendations and examples.   |  |
| Presentation                   | <b>0</b><br>The presentation style does not<br>complement the content in any way and<br>the presenter fails to connect with the<br>audience in any way (e.g. eye contact,<br>tone of voice). | 1 to 2<br>The presentation style does little to<br>complement the content and the<br>presenter does little to connect the<br>audience (e.g. eye contact, tone of<br>voice). | <b>3 to 5</b><br>The presentation style is well developed<br>and complements the content, and the<br>presenter connects well with the<br>audience (e.g. eye contact, tone of<br>voice). | 6 to 7<br>The presentation style is exceptional,<br>complementing the content and the<br>presenter does an outstanding job of<br>connecting with and engaging the<br>audience (e.g. eye contact, tone of<br>voice). |  |
|                                |  |   |   | TOTAL /30   |  |